

**WORKSHOP MINUTES  
OAKDALE CITY COUNCIL  
AUGUST 22, 2023**

The City Council held a workshop on Tuesday, August 22, 2023 at Oakdale City Hall, 1584 Hadley Avenue North, Oakdale, Minnesota. The meeting began at 5:00 PM.

**Present:** Mayor Kevin Zabel

**Council Members:** Noah Her  
Jake Ingebrigtsen  
Andy Morcomb  
Susan Willenbring

**City Staff Members:** Christina Volkers, City Administrator  
Sara Ludwig, City Clerk  
Jesse Farrell, City Engineer  
Andy Gitzlaff, Community Development Director  
Jeff Koesling, Parks Superintendent  
Max Lohse, Community Development Specialist  
Luke McClanahan, City Planner  
Nick Newton, Police Chief  
Julie Williams, Recreation Superintendent  
Kevin Wold, Fire Chief  
Jason Zimmerman, Finance Director  
Kevin Zittel, Facilities Manager

**Others Present:** Ashley Crowley, Oakdale Resident  
Larry Eberhard, Oakdale Resident  
Tony Jenkins, Economic Development Commission Applicant  
Erin Perdu, Senior Planner, Stantec  
Sara Noren, Oakdale Resident  
Pang Vang, Economic Development Commission Applicant

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (EDC) INTERVIEW CANDIDATE: PANG VANG**

Mayor Zabel explained the interview process noting that it is an informal process and a chance to get to know Ms. Vang.

Ms. Vang provided a brief introduction about her work experience, current residency, and reasons for applying to the EDC.

In response to Council Member Willenbring's question about the number of employees Ms. Vang employs, Ms. Vang stated she has roughly 350 in Minnesota and another 100 in Wisconsin.

In reference to Ms. Vang's application and comments about the impact of taxes on businesses and residents, Council Member Morcomb reminded Ms. Vang that the role of the EDC is not to set financial or tax policies. Ms. Vang stated she understood.

In response to Council Member Ingebrigtsen's question about why Ms. Vang is applying now, she stated that some of her personal time commitments have lessened.

In response to Council Member Her's question about the greatest asset Ms. Vang can bring to the commission, Ms. Vang replied that she can bring a minority perspective especially because she works with local residents. She is able to hear their concerns and share them with the commission.

Ms. Vang asked for more detail about the EDC. Mayor Zabel explained it is an advisory body that weighs in on development and redevelopment within the City as well as everyday impacts that the business community is facing.

Ms. Vang also asked how many members are on the EDC. Council Member Her said there are twelve seats. Mayor Zabel noted there are currently three vacancies.

#### **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (EDC) INTERVIEW CANDIDATE: TONY JENKINS**

Mr. Jenkins provided a brief introduction about his work experience, current residency, and reasons for applying to the EDC.

Council Member Morcomb asked about the creative approaches to business retention and expansion that Mr. Jenkins could share with the EDC. Mr. Jenkins said he can work with business owners as a personal resource to answer any questions they may have and show them what Oakdale has to offer.

In response to Mayor Zabel's question about anything the City can do better from a financial perspective in the commercial industry, Mr. Jenkins noted that being proactive is a great strategy.

#### **DISCUSSION RE: EDC APPLICANTS**

Council was in agreement to move forward with appointing Ms. Vang and Mr. Jenkins to the Economic Development Commission.

#### **GLENBROOK SMALL AREA PLAN DRAFT PLAN REVIEW**

City Planner Luke McClanahan provided background on the phases of the Glenbrook Small Area Plan.

Erin Perdu, Senior Planner with Stantec, stated that tonight's discussion would revolve around the draft Glenbrook Small Area Plan in order to get Council's approval to share with the public and the Planning Commission at their September 7, 2023 regular meeting. Ms. Perdu reviewed the public engagement that has taken place throughout this project. Next, she went over the preferred alternate – Alternate B – which was determined at the June 13, 2023 workshop meeting. The alternative includes a new frontage road to connect Glenbrook Ave N to 50<sup>th</sup> St N, which would allow trucks to bypass the residential neighbors on Upper 51<sup>st</sup> St N and 52<sup>nd</sup> St N, and ultimately connect to Geneva Ave N.

In response to Council Member Ingebrigtsen's question about MnDOT's willingness to accommodate this new frontage road, Ms. Perdu stated there is likely additional negotiation that can occur in regards to using part of MnDOT's right-of-way to construct the new frontage road. She added that based on Stantec's traffic engineer analysis, without the use of MnDOT's right-of-way there are significant impacts to the Tice-Hause Design Build, LLC parcel (6211 Upper 51<sup>st</sup> St N). The two likely options for adding this frontage road are i) using part of MnDOT's right-of-way, or ii) acquiring the 6211 parcel. Ms. Perdu reiterated that there is no intent to use eminent domain or City-initiated rezoning of affected properties to add this new frontage road. She also noted that MnDOT confirmed that the truck access at 50<sup>th</sup> Street N and Highway 120 will remain.

Ms. Perdu stated that the draft Plan has a recommendation to update the 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifying a few of the parcels designated for future land use changes.

Mayor Zabel asked why Eastgate Apartments is currently zoned commercial. Ms. Perdu clarified that the future land use is zoned commercial.

Ms. Perdu reviewed a section within the draft Plan that provides strategies the City can use to get better compliance with the zoning ordinance from the industrial properties. She clarified that those current properties are legal non-conforming as they were established prior to the current zoning ordinance.

Lastly, Ms. Perdu went over the next steps which include getting Council's feedback, posting to the City's website for public feedback, and then bringing the final Plan back to Council for formal approval.

Ms. Perdu provided an update on the truck traffic study results noting that of the 60 truck trips in a 24 period on Upper 51<sup>st</sup> Street N, 56 of those were by heavy commercial trucks.

In response to Council Member Willenbring's question about compliance with aspects in Section 2.5: Equity and Environmental Contamination Considerations, Ms. Perdu said this is more informational as there is no required compliance or clean up. She noted that the truck traffic issue relates to an emissions issue for the residents in that neighborhood.

In response to Council Member Morcomb's question about known contaminated locations, Ms. Perdu indicated that would take further investigation with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). She added that there is a rough map of identified locations in Chapter 2. Community Development Director Andy Gitzlaff noted that the MPCA does have grant funding available for the research of properties if there is suspicion of contamination.

There was Council support to present the draft Plan to the Planning Commission for their feedback.

### **OAKDALE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION (OAA) FIELD USAGE/REVENUE DISCUSSION**

City Administrator Chris Volkens briefly recapped the June 27, 2023 Council workshop conversation in which it was suggested that the Oakdale Athletic Association (OAA) rent the use of the fields to provide a dedicated revenue stream to help offset park improvement costs. Staff's preliminary finding is that it may not make sense to charge per game, per day, etc., however it would make sense to reevaluate the current contract with OAA and make changes based on Council's intent. Mayor Zabel asked Council to maintain a broader focus during this discussion especially as it relates to the possibility of creating a City field usage policy.

Parks Superintendent Jeff Koesling reviewed the information from the surrounding cities regarding the advantages and concerns of athletic field rentals. He noted several challenges that North St. Paul faces including ballfield preparation that is "undone" by individuals who use the field prior to the time it has been reserved and paid for by another group. In these cases, when the assigned group arrives they are unhappy with the ballfield and ask the city to redo the ballfield preparation. In order to comply with this request, city staff has to return to work at an overtime rate of pay. Mr. Koesling shared his concerns with this scenario especially trying to comply with this request for multiple fields and being able to call back enough City staff to complete the work.

Council Member Ingebrigtsen asked for clarification on comments made about offset costs. Mr. Koesling stated that the City will not breakeven even if it does charge for field usage.

Ms. Volkens reminded the group that Public Works staff are bound by union contracts that dictate working hours, pay rates, etc.

Mayor Zabel handed out data on other cities that do charge their athletic associations to use their fields. The model that he liked the most involved charging the athletic association per player/per season. He added this model is more equitable to residents and other users who currently have to pay to use the fields while the biggest user (OAA) does not.

In response to Council Member Morcomb's question about other groups (besides OAA) that use a lot of field time, Mr. Koesling said none do that he is aware of. Mayor Zabel noted that Adult Softball should be treated equally in regards to the model that is adopted.

Ms. Volkens stated that Adult Softball has been asking for a contract renegotiation so this would be a perfect time to do that.

Council Member Morcomb pointed out that these groups are getting something for these proposed charges, i.e. the lighting improvements at Walton Park found in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). He is in favor of charging an annual fee to lessen the administrative burden on City staff. He also suggested adding in language to the proposed City field usage policy that any request that is dependent on overtime for City staff is unrealistic. Mayor Zabel expressed a concern with an annual fee, versus a per player model, as programming could change for these groups. Council Member Morcomb understood and was supportive of the per player model as well.

Council Member Ingebrigtsen suggested auctioning off nightly field usage to the highest bidder.

Council Member Willenbring stated that it is beneficial for the City to allow children to use the fields even if the City is unable to offset the costs associated with their use.

Mayor Zabel handed out sample field usage policies from other cities noting that each had a hierarchy of priority which could help the City determine which group gets to use a field if there are competing interests. He also pointed out that these policies establish a timeline giving priority to certain groups to reserve the fields first. After a certain date, the fields are open to anyone on a first come-first served basis.

Council Member Her asked about the possibility of adding a second shift within the Parks Department. Mayor Zabel suggested adding more seasonal help versus a second shift. Ms. Volkens stated that there are too many challenges to adding a second shift in regards to the union requirements and agreements.

Council Member Willenbring asked if there are any groups that want to use the field and are unable to do so due to the current structure. Recreation Superintendent Julie Williams said this is not an issue.

Mayor Zabel stated that he has received questions about why adult Softball gets to use the nicest fields at Walton Park. There was no definitive reason that staff could provide in response as it is not written in the contract, however it was noted that adult Softball currently pays the most for ballfield usage in the City. In response to Council Member Ingebrigtsen's inquiry about any issues between the City and adult Softball, Mr. Koesling said there have been none.

Council Member Her asked how field usage priority would be determined if the City does implement a pay-for-use model. Mayor Zabel said that would be established in the proposed field usage policy. He suggested a priority structure of 1) City events (possibly include school

district use), 2) community athletic associations, 3) residents, and 4) non-residents. Council Member Her liked Mayor Zabel's suggestion and was supportive of the per player model.

Ms. Volkens summarized it is Council's intent that she have conversations with both OAA and adult softball regarding Council's direction as discussed and is to bring back a draft of a new OAA contract and adult Softball contract, along with a proposed field usage policy, to a future workshop to get Council's feedback. Council Member Ingebrigtsen stressed that every contingency should be in writing. Mr. Koesling reiterated that the current OAA contract is 30 years old and thus, would benefit from updated provisions.

Mayor Zabel asked if January 1, 2024 is a realistic timeline for agreement changes. Council was in agreement that this timeline makes sense.

### **NOREN CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT – PROPOSED EGG HATCHING AND CHICK SALES**

Mr. McClanahan explained that there are two items that require Council direction. The first is the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) request that was brought to the Planning Commission on August 3, 2023. The request is for a Home Occupation to hatch eggs and sell chicks at 6449 49<sup>th</sup> St N. Mr. McClanahan added that currently the applicant is authorized by the Police Department to have up to 25 chickens on the property through a Keeping of Animals Permit, but the CUP request goes beyond the simple hobby farm operation and is outside of the Police Department's purview. Additionally, Mr. McClanahan noted that of the 13 review criteria used when evaluating CUPs, City staff found that four have not been met. Contrarily, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the CUP, 5-2, with additional conditions of approval that they determined would allow the applicant to meet all 13 review criteria. Of note, as part of the CUP approval process, Council has the highest level of discretion.

In response to Council Member Ingebrigtsen's question about the size of the parcel, Mr. Gitzlaff said 0.6 acres.

Mayor Zabel reminded the group that a final decision on the CUP request will be made at the regular City Council meeting on September 12, 2023 and this workshop discussion is for purposes of giving the applicant and staff Council's intent.

In response to Mayor Zabel's question about any past CUP requests that have been approved without all criteria being met, staff could not recall any instances. Assistant City Attorney Rachel Tierney added that most cities require all criteria be met to approve a CUP request.

Council Member Morcomb asked if all CUP requests go to the Planning Commission for a decision regardless if all criteria have been met. Ms. Volkens confirmed that is the process.

Council Member Her asked that the missed criteria be read again.

In response to Council Member Willenbring's question about the Police Department not approving more than 25 chickens for this property, Police Chief Nick Newton noted that the current City Code does not distinguish age or breeding when factoring in the maximum number allowed. He added that the current Keeping of Animals permit process gives the

Police Department too much discretion which can lead to inconsistencies, and can cause confusion for the residents. Following up, Council Member Willenbring asked if the current permit had any distinction in regards to roosters, Chief Newton said there is no distinction in that regard.

Council Member Ingebrigtsen asked Ms. Tierney if the City could be opening itself up to a potential lawsuit if it approved a CUP request without all conditions being met. Ms. Tierney confirmed.

Council Member Willenbring asked if the CUP request is a moot point due to the Police Department's decision to deny more than 25 chickens on the property. Mayor Zabel clarified that the CUP request could still be approved for the home occupation to hatch eggs and sell chicks, within the Police Department's current Keeping of the Animals permit.

In response to Council Member Her's question about the resident's primary source of income, Mr. McClanahan stated that this is a hobby outside of the resident's primary job.

Council Member Willenbring requested that the unmet conditions be read one more time. The discussion transitioned to the second item needing Council direction – pursuing changes to Oakdale City Code of Ordinances, Chapter 4: Animals and Waterfowl.

Council Member Her noted that the slaughtering of chickens is a common practice within the Hmong culture so he would like to make sure that is allowable under the updated ordinance.

Chief Newton was comfortable continuing to have the Police Department process the Keeping of Animals permits, but would like Council direction on the number of poultry, the type of poultry, the slaughtering of poultry, and coop regulations.

Council Member Her said that the ordinance should be open and supportive of residents who want to keep chickens at their home for non-commercial purposes.

Mayor Zabel suggested changes to the new ordinance which could include a maximum of six chickens, no roosters, and no slaughtering/butchering in residential districts for commercial purposes, as this preserves personal use and religious exceptions.

Council Member Willenbring stated that the coop regulations are very important for the wellbeing of the animal. Mayor Zabel asked if the zoning ordinance provides guidance on how coops are defined. Mr. McClanahan stated that the City Code does not provide that

information. Staff was directed to add coop regulations to the Chapter 4 changes using current zoning code guidelines.

Council was supportive of changing the City Code to limit the number of chickens to six hens, not allowing roosters, not allowing slaughtering or butchering in residential districts for commercial purposes, and including coop regulations within the ordinance.

Council Member Willenbring was not supportive of slaughtering in any capacity.

#### **REVISION TO CITY POLICY FR-007: INVESTMENTS AND CASH MANAGEMENT**

Finance Director Jason Zimmerman explained that the amendments to sections 7.1.2 and 12.2 of City Policy FR-007: Investments and Cash Management came out of the 2022 financial audit. The changes are in relation to nonnegotiable certificates of deposits and collateralization, respectively.

In response to Council Member Morcomb's question about the local bank the City uses, Mr. Zimmerman said Platinum Bank.

Council was supportive of the proposed changes.

#### **REVISION TO CITY POLICY FR-021: FEDERAL GRANT AWARDS ADMINISTRATION POLICY**

Mr. Zimmerman explained that the amendments to sections 14.1.5, 16.2.9, and 19.1.3 of City Policy FR-021: Federal Grant Awards Administration came out of the 2022 financial audit. Additionally, there was an update to the references of Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) to Assistance Listing Number (ALN), to maintain consistency with the language provided by the federal government.

Council was supportive of the proposed changes.

#### **NEW PROPOSED CITY POLICY FR-024: POST-ISSUANCE DEBT COMPLIANCE**

Mr. Zimmerman explained that it is in the best interest of the City to formalize a policy to ensure compliance with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in regards to Continuing Disclosure Obligations set forth in Rule 15c2-12 which governs disclosure requirements associated with municipal securities. The procedures in the attached proposed policy are derived from recommendations from the City's financial advisor.

Council was supportive of the proposed changes.



### **REVISION TO CITY POLICY FR-019: FUND BALANCES**

Mr. Zimmerman explained that the amendments to sections one through nine of City Policy FR-019: Fund Balances came out of the 2022 financial audit. The City's financial advisors recommended revising the policy in accordance with Statement No. 54 of the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The Office of the State Auditor (OSA) states that it is best to have 35-50% of the subsequent year's expenses in the fund balance.

Council Member Ingebrigtsen asked why it is seen as a negative to have over fifty percent in the fund balance as his opinion is that there is no such thing as having too much.

Mr. Zimmerman stated the OSA does not provide defined negatives, but that over fifty percent may be deemed excessive. Additionally, residents may ask why the levy is being increased when there is such a high fund balance. Mr. Zimmerman also noted that if the City does not meet its fund balance policy (in either direction) it will be noted in the financial disclosure statement. Council Member Ingebrigtsen suggested changing the range maximum to an amount the Council deems necessary.

Mr. Zimmerman clarified that City staff does not have the authority to utilize or transfer these funds in excess of the policy without Council approval.

Council was supportive of the proposed changes.

### **RECESSED FOR REGULAR MEETING AT 6:45 PM**

### **WORKSHOP RECONVENED AT 8:20 PM after the Regular Economic Development Authority Meeting**

### **CITY REGULATORY APPROACHES TO CANNABIS AND LOWER-POTENCY HEMP PRODUCTS**

Ms. Volkens explained that at the July 25, 2023 workshop meeting, staff was directed to bring back draft ordinances related to a moratorium on cannabis businesses, use of cannabis in public places, smoking in public parks, and THC-infused seltzers.

Ms. Tierney provided information on the proposed moratorium stating it is a zoning action that prohibits the establishment of use until the City has completed a zoning study. This specific moratorium can last until January 1, 2025. Ms. Tierney shared, in her experience, some cities are issuing a moratorium and some are not, it is a matter of preference. She explained that if the City issued a moratorium until January 1, 2025, but the State started issuing licenses sooner than expected, the City would have the option to lift the moratorium early to allow the licensing of cannabis businesses.

In response to Mayor Zabel asking about the effect the moratorium would have on the low-potency hemp edibles that the City currently allows, Ms. Tierney said the current version of the proposed moratorium does include THC.

Ms. Tierney provided a definition for THC edibles/consumables which are products with less than 0.3% THC such as gummies and THC-infused seltzers. THC edibles/consumables will now be known as a low-potency hemp product once the State starts licensing these products. Cannabis contains 0.3% or more of THC which is the main psychoactive compound in marijuana. Regulations for cannabis and low-potency hemp are different. Cities have more authority to regulate cannabis than low-potency hemp. A new provision in the adult-use cannabis bill allows THC edibles to be sold with a current liquor license unless the City Code says otherwise. Ms. Tierney asked if the City would like to remove Section 9-173 (4) (c) which currently prohibits exclusive liquor stores from obtaining a City license to sell THC consumable products.

Council Member Her asked if the State is allowing the use of alcohol and THC (both low- and high-potency) together. Ms. Tierney said there is no regulation in State statute. Mayor Zabel asked if cities can regulate that through a liquor license. Ms. Tierney stated that cities are preemptive throughout this State statute.

Ms. Tierney asked the Council if they would like to adopt the proposed moratorium. Council Member Ingebrigtsen asked if it can be lifted at any time. Ms. Tierney confirmed, and suggested placing the moratorium for the maximum amount of time (until January 1, 2025) as it is a simple process to lift it early. Mayor Zabel asked if the proposed moratorium will apply to low-potency hemp products as well as cannabis. Ms. Tierney confirmed, but offered that the reference to low-potency hemp products could be removed. Council Members Morcomb and Willenbring were in favor of this suggestion.

Ms. Tierney provided a bit more context regarding the moratorium and its effect on licensing stating no one can sell cannabis without a license because the State is not ready to issue these licenses. Before the State issues licenses it will create model ordinances for cities that will include guidance on types of zoning regulations that can be passed under the restrictions of State law. Mayor Zabel stated an advantage of the moratorium is to allow Council time to establish zoning guidelines especially if the State starts licensing prior to the expiration of the moratorium.

In response to Council Member Her's question about the possibility of the City establishing stricter zoning regulations than the State, Ms. Tierney said that is unlikely, but recommended waiting until the State provides their model ordinances before passing anything.

Mayor Zabel and Council Member Ingebrigtsen were supportive of the moratorium. Council Member Morcomb would like to remove the inclusion of low-potency hemp products from the proposed moratorium, keeping it solely focused on cannabis businesses.

The Council was supportive of this proposal. Ms. Volkens asked that Council establish parameters for the licensing of THC-seltzers. Chief Newton expressed no concerns with this type of licensing.

Mayor Zabel asked for Council's intent relating to Section 9-173 (4) (c) which currently prohibits exclusive liquor stores from obtaining a City license to sell THC consumable products.

Mayor Zabel was supportive of removing this prohibition as long as liquor stores follow all other aspects of Chapter 9, Article 17 in the City Code. Ms. Tierney suggested revising the current distance requirements, but stated that as of right now it is unclear if the distance requirements will apply to low-potency hemp products. Mayor Zabel would like to keep the distance requirements as is until the State provides their requirements. Council Member Morcomb noted that the risk of altering the distance requirements now could have a negative impact on businesses later when the State provides their distance requirements. For that reason, he was supportive of keeping the distance requirements as is. Council Member

Ingebrigtsen agreed. Ms. Tierney recapped that when the new provision in the adult-use cannabis bill was passed, it allows THC edibles to be sold by businesses with a current liquor license, unless the City Code says otherwise. Once the State starts issuing licenses for low-potency hemp through the Office of Cannabis Management (OCM), cities can no longer enforce their local licensing regulations. There was support from Council to remove Section 9-173 (4) (c) of the current City Code so long as the exclusive liquor stores be required to comply with all other requirements of the license, including the distance requirement and fees.

Moving on to the two draft ordinance options relating to the use of cannabis and hemp in a public place, Ms. Tierney explained that State law allows cities to prohibit consumption of cannabis products and low-potency hemp products in public places. There is no definition of public place in the State statute except that it does not include (1) a private residence, including the person's yard; (2) private property not generally accessible by the public; and (3) the premises of an establishment or event licensed to permit on-site consumption of these products. The definition of public place in Option A aligns closely with those public places included in the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) like parks, sidewalks, patios, etc. Council Member Ingebrigtsen asked if it is possible to disseminate between the method of consumption. It was confirmed that it is possible. Ms. Tierney noted that another decision to be made is regarding the consumption of THC-beverages in parks, as most cities do not allow the consumption of alcohol in parks. Chapter 10, Section 10-17 of the City Code currently prohibits consuming alcohol in a public park.

Ms. Tierney stated that the definition of public place in Option A is much broader whereas the definition in Option B is more limited as it only relates to property owned, leased, or controlled by a governmental unit.

The discussion moved to specifically prohibiting smoking in parks. Council Member Morcomb noted that he has received complaints about the smell of cannabis smoke so that is the type

of smoke he would support prohibiting. Council Member Her would not support prohibiting the smoking of tobacco or vaping in public parks.

Mayor Zabel was supportive of Option A, but would like to only include language regarding consumption of low-potency hemp product and cannabis via inhaling (smoking) in some fashion. Council Members Morcomb, Her, and Ingebrigtsen agreed. Ms. Tierney indicated she is comfortable crafting this specific language.

Council Member Willenbring was in favor of Option B, but was not supportive of the general prohibition of use in public.

Council did not want to move forward with the proposed ordinance relating to smoking in City parks (Attachment C) as this was essentially addressed in the changes proposed to the public use ordinance.

Council Member Willenbring wanted clarification on the language in the City Code relating to drinking alcohol in City parks. Mayor Zabel read Section 10-17 of the City Code related to consumption in public places. Council Member Her asked about a specific City permit that allows drinking alcohol in City parks with a picnic shelter rental. Ms. Volkers stated she would look into this.

### **ADMINISTRATOR UPDATE**

Ms. Volkers stated that she will send Council an email with the three addresses relating to past alleyway vacation requests that are incomplete. As time allows, staff will review other past alleyway vacation requests to ensure they were processed correctly.

### **COUNCIL TOPICS**

Council Member Morcomb noted that several cub scouts would be in attendance at the September 26, 2023 City Council meeting. They are being asked to talk to an elected official about an environmental issue facing the City so Council Member Morcomb would like any council member who is willing and able to stick around and have a short conversation with them.

Mayor Zabel mentioned that Ms. Volkers found an amicable solution with Washington County in regards to their early voting needs within Oakdale.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The workshop was adjourned at 9:15 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Sara Ludwig, City Clerk